Culham Storage Environmental Statement: Volume 3

Appendix: Ecology and Biodiversity Annex 1: Ecological Impact Assessment Annex 2: Biological Impact Assessment







Culham Battery Storage

On behalf of Statera Energy

April 2024

Ecology by Design Ltd,

Hampden House, Monument Park, Chalgrove, Oxon OX44 7RW.

Tel 01865 893346 www.ecologybydesign.co.uk

Registered in England and Wales No. 9318075.

Project Code	Title	Date of Issue
EBD02513	Culham Battery Storage Biodiversity Impact Assessment	12 April 2024

	Name	Date
Prepared by	Anna Spence BSc (Hons), MSc, MCIEEM	23 January 2024
Checked by	Karen Lunan BSc (Hons), MSc, MCIEEM	26 January 2024
Updated by	Anna Spence BSc (Hons) MSc, MCIEEM	12 April 2024

Copyright Ecology by Design Ltd. All rights reserved.

No part of this report may be copied or reproduced by any means without prior written consent from Ecology by Design Ltd. If you have received this report in error please destroy all copies in your possession or control and notify Ecology by Design Ltd.

This report has been commissioned for the exclusive use of the commissioning party unless otherwise agreed in writing by Ecology by Design Ltd; no other party may use, make use of or rely on the contents of the report. No liability is accepted by Ecology by Design Ltd for any of this report, other than for the purposes for which it was originally prepared and provided.

Opinions and information provided in this report are on basis of Ecology by Design Ltd using due skill, care and diligence in the preparation of this report and no explicit warranty is provided as to its accuracy. It should be noted that no independent verification of any of the documents or information supplied to Ecology by Design Ltd has been made.

Under the Construction (Design & Management) Regulations (CDM, 2015) Ecology by Design employees may have designer duties. Ecology by Design staff do not take on the roles of Client, Principal Designer, Principal Contractor, Contractor or Worker. Our staff are appropriately experienced and briefed on health and safety matters. As far as is 'reasonably practical' we seek to eliminate risks and reduce hazards. This applies to all aspects of a development that we have control or influence over. It is the responsibility of the client, principal designer, principal contractor and/or contractor to ensure the specific design and implementation of ecological recommendations satisfy the CDM Regulations.





Contents

1	Exec	itive Summary	4
2	Intro	duction	5
	2.1	Background	5
	2.2	Site Description	5
	2.3	Proposals	5
	2.4	Relevant Policy and Legislation	6
	2.5	Aims of Report	
	2.6	Personnel	6
3	Meth	ods	
	3.1	Desk Study	
	3.2	Biodiversity Impact Assessment	
	3.3	Limitations and Constraints	
4	Resu	ts and Interpretation	
	4.1	Habitats Baseline	
	4.2	Retained/Enhanced/Lost Habitats	
	4.3	Proposed Habitats	
	4.4	Metric Calculation Result	13
5	Reco	nmendations	
	5.1	Biodiversity Net Gain Management and Monitoring Plan (BMMP)	
	5.2	Broad Management Prescriptions	
6	Refe	ences	19
A	ppendix	L - Site Proposals	20
A	ppendix	2 - Figures	21
A	ppendix	3 - Photographs	22
	ppendix		23
A	ppendix	5 - Proposed Condition Assessment Tables	24

Executive Summary

eport urpose	This report identifies the resundertaken of proposals for a insulated lithium ion battery 37 larger noise insulated in transformers. The facility is p Science Centre (approximate A Biodiversity Impact Assess Town and Country Plannin Environment Act 2021) and re Oxfordshire District Council functions to satisfy the requir <i>"All proposals should be supp</i>
	gain using a recognised biodi
ate and nethods of urvey and ssessment	Three baseline habitat condit 2022, 16 November 2022 and Statutory Biodiversity Metric (drawing reference Dwg No. biodiversity gain potential wit stage and incorporated within
	The mitigation hierarchy and gain were followed during the
ey findings	The site has a baseline biod hedgerows or rivers on site. The Biodiversity Metric Calc equivalent to a change of 68 hedgerow units. As there are calculate a percentage net ga
	Condition assessments were actual biodiversity net gain po above. Assumptions on cor explained; broad creation/ma requirements are provided b assumptions.
	The metric also indicates that
	Features such as bird boxes, the biodiversity metric calcula further enhance the site fo Assessment (Ecology by Desig
	This assessment has robust

y demonstrated that the proposals will result in a biodiversity net gain, satisfying the mandatory 10% net gain requirement under the Environment Act 2021 and Policy ENV3 from the South Oxfordshire District Council Local Plan and ensuring a biodiversity gain will be achieved as part of the proposals. Post-consent, this report should be used to inform a detailed Biodiversity Net Gain Management and Monitoring Plan (BMMP). A BMMP will be required to ensure the long-term delivery of the habitats contributing to the quantitative net gain calculated by this report.



ults of a quantitative Biodiversity Impact Assessment 500 megawatt battery storage facility, with 296 sound units housed within standard shipping containers and nverter houses to accommodate the inverters and proposed within c. 27ha of land north of the Culham central grid reference: SU 52879 96551).

sment (BIA) is mandatory under Schedule 7A of the g Act 1990 (as inserted by Schedule 14 of the equired in accordance with Policy ENV3 from the South Local Plan (adopted December 2020). This report rements of the following part of that policy:

ported by evidence to demonstrate a biodiversity net versity accounting metric".

ion assessments of the site was undertaken on 12 July 111 January 2024 and the results used to populate the based on planting plans provided by Stratera Energy SL254_L_X_GA_1). Ecological advice for maximising hin the layout was provided during the detailed design n the plans.

all other best practice principles for biodiversity net e design process.

diversity value of 64.75 habitat units. There are no

ulator identifies a net gain of +44.36 habitat units, 3.51% will be achieved, alongside a net gain of +5.10 e no existing hedgerows on site it is not possible to in in hedgerow units.

made on a precautionary basis for robustness. The otentially achievable at the site may exceed the values mposition and condition of proposed habitats are anagement specifications, timeframes and monitoring by this report that would be required to meet these

rules relating to 'trading down' have been satisfied.

bat boxes and insect boxes are not considered within ation but will be incorporated within the site which will wildlife, as detailed within the Ecological Impact gn, 2024).



2 Introduction

- 2.1 Background
- 2.1.1 Ecology by Design were commissioned by Stratera Energy to undertake a Biodiversity Impact Assessment (BIA) of proposals for a battery storage facility north of Culham Science Centre, Thames Lane, Culham, OX14 3ES at approximate central grid reference SU 52879 96551.
- 2.1.2 Ecology by Design have undertaken various surveys at the site between July 2022 and January 2024 including:
 - An extended UKHab Habitat Survey;
 - Daytime tree assessments for bats; and
 - Monitoring of potential badger setts.
- The results of the above are set out within the Ecological Impact Assessment report (Ecology 2.1.3 by Design, 2024).

2.2

- 2.2.1 The site is approximately 27ha in extent and comprises four large fields along with a portion of a fifth field used for non-cereal crops (permanent modified grasslands harvested for hay and silage) and two areas of other neutral grassland. The fields had been mown when the survey was conducted in January 2023, with small strips on the field margins remaining unmown. There are occasional scattered trees and scrub within the site.
- 2.2.2 In the wider landscape, there is mixed woodland immediately north of the site, the River Thames runs from east to west 130m north of the site, there are additional non-cereal fields to the north and south-west and Culham Science Centre to the south-east.
- 2.2.3 Soilscapes (https://www.landis.org.uk/soilscapes/) indicates the soils of the site comprise slowly permeable seasonally wet slightly acid but base-rich loamy and clayey soils.
- 2.3 Proposals
- 2.3.1 The proposals are for the development of a battery energy storage system (BESS) connected directly to the National Grid, with BESS compound area, National Grid cable sealing end compound, substation upgrade works and associated infrastructure works including access, drainage and landscaping.

2.4 Relevant Policy and Legislation

- 2.4.1 metric..."
- 2.4.2 required for all developments in England and is mandatory from 12th February 2024.
- 2.4.3 compensated for as a last resort.

2.5 Aims of Report

- 2.5.1 2021).
- 2.5.2 proposals (Stratera Energy drawing reference: Dwg No. SL254_L_X_GA_1).
- 2.5.3 application. GIS shapefiles will be available on request.
- 2.5.4 all other ecological considerations such as designated sites and protected species.
- 2.6 Personnel
- 2.6.1

Ecology by Design Ltd

Page | 5

Page | 6



Policy ENV3 from South Oxfordshire District Council Local Plan (adopted 2020) states: "Development that will conserve, restore and enhance biodiversity in the district will be supported. All development should provide a net gain in biodiversity where possible. As a minimum, there should be no net loss of biodiversity. All proposals should be supported by evidence to demonstrate a biodiversity net gain using a recognised biodiversity accounting

The Environment Act 2021 stipulates a 10% Biodiversity Net Gain above baseline conditions is

The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) (DLUHC, 2023) states that development proposals should seek opportunities for securing measurable net gains for biodiversity. It also outlines that development proposals should follow a 'mitigation hierarchy' by which loss of biodiversity should preferably be avoided as a first course of action, mitigated as a second, or

This report is a Biodiversity Impact Assessment (BIA) of the proposals at the site. It has been produced following a site visit to evaluate the baseline habitats present and a review of the proposed habitats in accordance with the guidance provided alongside the Statutory Biodiversity metric (DEFRA, 2023b) and industry standard guidance (CIEEM et al., 2019; BSI,

This report is intended to satisfy the requirements of ensuring a net gain in biodiversity within Policy ENV3 and the Environment Act 2021 read in conjunction with the detailed landscape

This report will be submitted to South Oxfordshire District Council alongside a completed copy of the Biodiversity Metric Statutory Calculation Tool (DEFRA, 2023a) to inform the planning

This report addresses a quantitative biodiversity net gain assessment only, it should be read in conjunction with the Ecological Impact Assessment (Ecology by Design, 2024) which addresses

The site visit, mapping and completion of the Statutory Metric (DEFRA, 2023a) was undertaken by Ecology by Design Senior Ecologist Anna Spence BSc (Hons), MSc, MCIEEM who has seven

ecologybydesign

years' experience carrying out habitat surveys. The report, metric and associated figures were reviewed by Principal Ecologist Karen Lunan BSc (Hons), MSc, MCIEEM who has 18 years' experience as an ecologist.

2.6.2 Anna and Karen have both received specific training in the use of the DEFRA Statutory metric and are suitably qualified and accomplished in habitat evaluation and use of GIS to complete a biodiversity impact assessment metric on a site of this nature.

3	Methods
3.1	Desk Study
3.1.1	The Ecological Impact Assessment (Ecology by inform the application which is not discussed f
3.2	Biodiversity Impact Assessment
	Compliance with Best Practice
3.2.1	A biodiversity impact assessment was under (DEFRA, 2023a) in accordance with all relevant 2021). The 10 'Principles of Biodiversity Net Ga
	 Principle 1 – Apply the mitigation hierarchy Principle 2 – Avoid losing biodiversity that c Principle 3 – Be inclusive and equitable Principle 4 – Address risks Principle 5 – Make a measurable net gain Principle 6 – Achieve the best outcomes for Principle 7 – Be additional

- Principle 8 Create a net gain legacy
- Principle 9 Optimise sustainability
- Principle 10 Be transparent

Methodology

- 3.2.2 3.2.3
 - apparent.

Ecology by Design Ltd



Design, 2024) includes a detailed desk study to further within this report.

ertaken using the statutory biodiversity metric best practice guidelines (CIEEM et al., 2019; BSI, iain (CIEEM, et al., 2019) were followed:

cannot be offset by gains elsewhere

r biodiversity

To calculate the net impact on biodiversity as a result of the proposals, the Statutory Biodiversity Metric (DEFRA, 2023a) was completed in accordance with the accompanying user guide and technical supplements (DEFRA, 2023b). The Metric calculation was completed with baseline data from a site visit and proposals data from the proposed landscape scheme.

A site visit was undertaken to collect baseline data on the existing habitats and their condition within the site. In accordance with the Statutory Biodiversity Metric user guide (DEFRA, 2023b) no specific minimum mappable unit was used; baseline data was collected on site on 12 July 2022, 16 November 2022 and 11 January 2024 and digitised using Ordnance Survey mapping and google satellite imagery during January 2024 at a scale of 1:250 using professional judgement, site notes and experience in cases where feature boundaries were not readily

ecology by design

- Proposed habitats were manually digitised using an image file of Dwg No. SL254_L_X_GA_1 3.2.4 (Appendix 1) georeferenced using QGIS version 3.28.5 'Georeferencer' plugin; the georeferenced raster file is available on request in various formats. Full details of the habitat classifications are outlined within the biodiversity metrics submitted alongside this report and accompanying GIS shapefiles available on request in various formats.
- 3.2.5 In order to avoid rounding errors, area and length values were entered into the statutory metric to the level of accuracy calculated by the QGIS 3.28.5 function \$area/\$length as a decimal ('real') number attribute.
- 3.2.6 Existing and proposed habitats were categorised based on the UK Habitats Classification Scheme (UKHab Ltd, 2023) and conditions were assessed in accordance with the accompanying guidelines for the DEFRA statutory metric (Annex 1 to Natural England, 2023b).
- 3.2.7 The personnel were suitably qualified to conduct the assessment, as detailed in Section 2.6.

3.3

- Industry standard principles were employed for the biodiversity impact assessment where 3.3.1 appropriate to the current project. Any deviation from best practice was circumstantial and minor and did not have a significant impact on the conclusions made which are considered valid and robust. A full break down of the industry standard principles involved and any justifiable deviation is available on request if required.
- 3.3.2 The habitat assessment was conducted in July and November 2022 and January 2024. Whilst November and January are outside the optimal period given many species are not in flower, species composition was readily identified given the common and widespread habitats present within the site, therefore, this is not considered to have constrained the identification of habitat types, habitat condition or assessment of potential impacts.

4 Results and Interpretation

4.1.1 in various formats).

4.1 Habitats Baseline

4.1.1 4.

Table 4.1: Habitat types identified during the baseline condition assessment

Habitat type	Description
Modified grassland	The vast majority of the site of hay or used for sheep grazing. and a uniform sward height. <i>perenne</i>), cock's-foot (<i>Dactylis</i> fog (<i>Holcus mollis</i>), timothy <i>elatius</i>), soft brome (<i>Bromus</i> (<i>Hordeum murinum</i>) annual m within the field and included <i>rhoeas</i>) and scentless maywee
Other neutral grassland	The margins of the modified giuniform grass-dominated swar Yorkshire fog, cock's-foot, pereor occasional agrimony (<i>Agrimon</i> rarely occurring nettle (<i>Urtica</i> dock (<i>Rumex crispus</i>), wild car <i>arvensis</i>), creeping cinquefoil (agg.). Along the central access present.
Mixed scrub	In the east of the site is 0.33ha in c. 2010 and is typically 3m h (<i>Quercus robur</i>) or faster grow (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>) being frequent hawthorn (<i>Crataegus</i> dogwood (<i>Cornus sanguinea</i>), <i>excelsior</i>), wayfaring tree (<i>Vibu</i> and rarely occurring walnut (<i>Ju</i> and Scots pine (<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>)
Bramble scrub	In the south-east of the site is including rarely occurring scatt (<i>Rosa</i> sp.).



Baseline and proposed habitat condition assessments are recorded within the DEFRA Statutory Metric submitted alongside this report and accompanying GIS shapefiles (available on request

The baseline habitats and their retention category (lost/retained/enhanced) are illustrated on Figures EBD 2513 DR001 - EBD 2513 DR002 at Appendix 2 and detailed within Table 4.1 below. Detailed condition assessment results are presented alongside justification in Appendix

> comprises modified grassland which is either mown for The modified grassland exhibits poor species-diversity Species present include perennial ryegrass (Lolium glomerata), sterile brome (Bromus sterilis), Yorkshire (Phleum pratense), false oatgrass (Arrhenatherum *hordeaceus*), red fescue (*Festuca rubra*), wall barley meadowgrass (*Poa annua*). Forbs were rarely offering field pansy (Viola arvensis), common poppy (Papaver ed (Tripleurospermum inodorum).

rassland fields were typically 1-2m wide with a ard height of 1m height, with frequent false oatgrass, rennial ryegrass and yarrow (Achillea millefolium), nia eupatoria) and wild parsnip (Pastinaca sativa), *dioica*), hogweed (*Heracleum sphondylium*), curled rrot (*Daucus carota*), field bindweed (*Convolvulus* (Potentilla reptans) and bramble (Rubus fruticosus s road white stonecrop (Sedum album) was also

a of mixed scrub which appears to have been planted neight with some already existing pedunculate oak ving trees cherry (*Prunus* sp.) and douglas fir up to 7m height. The scrub is species-rich, containing s monogyna), blackthorn (Prunus spinosa) and occasional hazel (Corylus avellana), ash (Fraxinus *urnum lantana*), and European larch (*Larix decidua*) *uglans regia*), cherry, sycamore (*Acer pseudoplatanus*) is). The understorey is typical of the field margins.

0.52ha of scrub dominated by bramble c. 1m height tered elder (*Sambucus nigra*), hawthorn and rose

ecology by design

Blackthorn scrub	Approximately 0.1357ha of blackthorn scrub is present at the northern site boundary
Scattered trees	There are infrequent scattered trees within the site including turkey oak (<i>Quercus cerris</i>), ash, plum (<i>Prunus</i> sp.), large-leaved lime (<i>Tilia platyphyllos</i>), apple (<i>Malus</i> sp.) and pedunculate oak (<i>Quercus robur</i>).
Developed land, sealed surface	Hardstanding roads bisect the site, and a small substation building is present towards the south.

- 4.1.2 Overall, the site has a baseline habitat value of:
 - 64.75 habitat units;
- 4.1.3 No hedgerow or river habitats are within or adjacent to the site so the metric does not include an assessment of these units.
- 4.2
- 4.2.1 The retention category of baseline habitats (retained/enhanced/lost) is illustrated on Drawing EBD_2513_DR002 at Appendix 2. The proposals include the retention of existing scattered trees, small areas of other neutral grassland and the access tracks (developed land). The remainder of the habitats will be lost. The habitats at the south-east adjacent to Thame Lane will be re-instated post development and have therefore been categorised as 'lost' and 'created' under the metric.
- 4.3 **Proposed Habitats**
- 4.3.1 Site layout proposals used to inform the proposals are provided at Appendix 1; our interpretation of these habitats for input into the metric is illustrated on drawing EBD_2513_DR003 at Appendix 2. Detailed condition assessments for the proposed habitats are provided at Appendix 5 alongside justification.
- 4.3.2 To achieve the condition assessments and habitat classifications detailed below, implications to the necessary management regime have been agreed with Stratera Energy. These implications are included, where relevant, within the recommendations in Section 5; these recommendations will need to inform the detailed Biodiversity Net Gain Management and Monitoring Plan (BMMP) for the site.
- 4.3.3 The habitats proposed within the site are detailed below.

Developed Land

4.3.4 A portion of the site will comprise developed land, sealed surface covering approximately 6.5253ha for which no condition assessment is required.

Modified grassland

- 4.3.5 arisings left in-situ. As such, they have been categorised as modified grassland. SuDS
- 4.3.6 Emorsgate Seeds EM8 meadow mixture for wetlands or similar.

Wildlife pond

4.3.7 is therefore likely to achieve moderate condition.

Other neutral grassland

- 4.3.8 greater than 9 species per meter square.
- 4.3.9 harrowing to allow natural seeding to occur.
- 4.3.10 removed. This will serve to remove nutrients and minimise scrub encroachment.
- 4.3.11 Any invasive species will be identified and removed. Other broadleaved woodland
- 4.3.12



Strips of grassland are proposed adjacent to the proposed developed land totalling approximately 0.3627ha. These areas will be regularly mown to a short height, likely with

An attenuation basin covering approximately 0.2351ha will be created towards the west of the site. the basin will be sown with a grassland seed mix tolerant of seasonal inundation with as

A wildlife pond measuring 0.0668ha will be created in the north of the site. The pond will have good water quality, have semi-natural habitat for at least 10m from the pond edge, will not be connected to other waterbodies and will have water levels which fluctuate naturally. The pond

10.7127ha of other neutral grassland will be created and managed to achieve moderate condition by passing the following criteria; (i) the vegetation closely matching characteristics of other neutral grassland with indicator species throughout the sward, (ii) no bracken and cover of scrub less than 5%, and (iii) absence of invasive species. It may fail the following criteria (i) cover of bare ground being 1-5% and (ii) sward height being varied and (iii) there being

Roughly 50% of the existing grassland will be power harrowed in strips, seeded with a speciesrich seed mix and then managed as a traditional hay meadow with an annual cut in the summer, removing risings. The remaining 50% of the grassland would be bare ground following

A suitable seed mix would comprise the Emorsgate basic general purpose meadow mixture or similar. The grassland will be mown annually within late-July or August and all arisings will be

2.1781ha of other broadleaved woodland will be created, targeting moderate condition. The woodland will be comprised of at least five native species and managed to prevent the



establishment of invasive species and allow a varied structure with a mixture of different aged trees to develop.

Mixed scrub

4.3.13 Areas of mixed scrub totalling approximately 1.6165ha will be created within the open space at the north and west of the site. The scrub will include at least three woody native species with no single species comprising more than 75% of the habitat and will be managed to ensure invasive non-native plants do not become established. The scrub will also be allowed to develop edge habitat with scattered scrub and tall forbs/grassland between it and the adjacent other neutral grassland. As such, it is anticipated the mixed scrub will reach moderate condition under the metric.

Hedge Planting

4.3.14 The proposals include the planting of approximately 0.48km of native hedgerow, 0.52km of native hedgerow with trees and 0.08km of native tree line. Subject to the implementation of an appropriate management scheme, the hedgerows are anticipated to meet the criteria for moderate condition whilst the native tree line will be of good condition.

Further Enhancements

4.3.15 Enhancement features such as bird boxes, bat boxes and insect boxes are not considered within the biodiversity metric calculation but will be incorporated within the site which will further enhance the site for wildlife, as detailed within Section 5 of the Ecological Impact Assessment (Ecology by Design, 2024).

4.4 Metric Calculation Result

Completion of DEFRA's Statutory Biodiversity Metric Calculation tool provides a baseline biodiversity value of 64.75 habitat units and a post development value of 109.11 habitat units indicating an increase of + 44.36 habitat units, or the equivalent to + 68.51% (see Figure 1). There are no existing hedgerows on site however the proposals will deliver 5.10% hedgerow units. There are no river units within or adjacent to the site. The metric also indicates that rules relating to 'trading down' have been satisfied.

Figure 1: Biodiversity Metric Headline Calculator Summary

arn Battery Storage Facility						
Headline Result		Return to esults menu				
Scroll down for final re	: ()	esores meno				
Scroll down for final re	esuits is					
0.0.0	ite baseline		Habitat units Hedgerow units	64.75		
On-s	ne basenne	2	Watercourse units	0.00		
On-site p	ost-interve	ntion	Habitat units Hedgerow units	109.11		
	etention, creation & enha		Watercourse units	0.00		
Version a substance						
On-sit	e net chanc	e	Habitat units	44.36	68.51%	
	uits & percentage)		Hedgerow units	5.10	N/A	Zero baseline units - % cannot be calculated
(u	na o percensige)		Watercourse units	0.00	0.00%	
			1			
04 -	ite baseline		Habitat units	0.00		
OII-S	ne basenne	20	Hedgerow units	0.00		
			Watercourse units	0.00		
Off-site n	ost-interve	ntion	Habitat units	0.00		
			Hedgerow units	0.00		
(Including habitat	retention, creation & enha	ncement)	Watercourse units	0.00		
06	in mot observe		Habitat units	0.00	0.00%	
	e net chang	e	Hedgerow units	0.00	0.00%	
(u	uts & percentage)		Watercourse units	0.00	0.00%	
(Including all on-site & off-sit	d net unit ch se habitat retention, crest		Hedgerow units Watercourse units	5.10 0.00		
freemand an meane of mean	e naphai recenton, creat	an a ennencemercj				
C	aliant an orman a	(ALC 128-121-12)	Habitat units	0.00		
Spatial risk mul	inplier (skivi) de	ductions	Hedgerow units Watercourse units	0.00		
			watercourse units	0.00		
	FIN	AL RESULTS				
			Habitat units	44.36		
	et unit chan		Hedgerow units	5.10		
(Including all on-site & off-sit	e habitat retention, creati	on & enhancemen()	Watercourse units	0.00		
122 MAR			Habital units	68.51%		
Total net % change (boluding all un-site & off-site habitat retention, creation & enhancement)		Hedgerow units	N/A	0 ha	seline units - % cannot be calculated	
(including all un-sile & on-sile nabilal retention, cression & enhancement)			Watercourse units	0.00%		
Trading rules satisfied?		Yes	/			
Unit Type	Target	Baseline Units	Units Required	Unit Deficit		
Habitat units	10.00%	64.75	71.22	0.00		l area habitat units required to most target 🖌
Hedgerow units Watercourse units	10.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00		al hedgerow units required to meet target 🖌 watercourse units required to meet target 🖌
	10.00%	0.00				





5 Recommendations

5.1 Biodiversity Net Gain Management and Monitoring Plan (BMMP)

- 5.1.1 In order for the anticipated net gain in biodiversity to be realised, a Biodiversity Net Gain Management and Monitoring Plan (BMMP) must be created and adopted prior to works commencing. A BMMP could be secured as a suitably worded pre-commencement condition and would need to be referenced by a legal agreement (S106, conservation covenant or similar) to secure the habitat creation/enhancement needed to achieve the net gain in biodiversity.
- 5.1.2 The BMMP must include the details outlined below:

Habitat Creation and Management

5.1.3 The BMMP must include details of individually referenceable parcels/habitats that are to be created and managed to contribute towards the net gain in biodiversity. The BMMP may make reference to a LEMP (or similar) or include detailed habitat creation and management prescriptions within its contents.

Timeframe

- The BMMP must cover a period of at least 30 years. 5.1.4
- The 'times to target condition' must accord with the details outlined in Appendix 5. 5.1.5

Scope

- 5.1.6 The BMMP will cover creation and management of any habitats contributing towards the biodiversity net gain result described above with the exception of the following habitat types which are better addressed within a separate Landscape and Ecology Management Plan (LEMP) or similar:
 - Buildings and hardstanding.
- 5.1.7 Where habitat parcels are described within both a LEMP and a BMMP, the creation/management prescriptions must align precisely.

Responsible Bodies

- The BMMP must outline necessary qualifications/experience for ecologists undertaking 5.1.8 monitoring surveys, and must also name responsible bodies for:
 - Creation and management of the habitats; and
 - Review of monitoring reports.

Monitoring

- 5.1.9 monitoring reports produced to document:
 - Commissioned client, site name and purpose of report;
 - Background and timeline for project;
 - Project description, as built;
 - Aims/objectives/scope of monitoring survey;
 - Reference to original aims described within this report;
 - Survey methods;
 - Evidence of technical competence and experience;
 - Limitations;
 - Clear statements on whether biodiversity unit targets are being met; and
 - Details of any rectification works and implications necessary.
- 5.1.10 deviation is considered low.

Condition

- 5.1.11 report should be highlighted and justified.
- 5.2 **Broad Management Prescriptions**
- 5.2.1 to those on drawing EBD 2513 DR003 (proposed habitats) at Appendix 2.

Newly created other neutral grassland (moderate condition)

5.2.2 short height:



The BMMP must include provision for independent ecological monitoring and progress reporting over the lifetime of the management period, with provision for rectification works if required. Ecological monitoring must take place yearly as a minimum for five years, with

The frequency of monitoring will likely be decreased (e.g. to years 5, 10, 15, 25, 30) after five years at the monitoring ecologists' discretion if targets are being consistently met and risk of

The BMMP must make clear which condition criteria (e.g. DEFRA statutory metric) are targeted for each individual habitat so that ecological monitoring reports have a benchmark against which to measure. It may be appropriate to update condition criteria assessment as new versions of the metric are made available; any deviation from the version used within this

The BMMP should be based on the below broad management prescriptions which have been agreed with Stratera Energy during the design stage. Parcel references within the below refer

The other neutral grassland within the application site must be managed around a traditional 'hay-cut' regime with the exception of informal footpaths which are to be mown regularly to a



- mowing as required to <10mm height between March and mid-April inclusive;
- leaving grassland unmanaged during mid-April to late-July;
- taking a single summer hay cut in early August and remove arisings; and
- mowing monthly to <10mm August-October, removing arisings each mow.

Newly created mixed scrub

The newly planted mixed scrub within the application site will require no specific management 5.2.3 beyond periodic brush-cutting and replacement of dead/damaged areas to maintain their current extent.

Newly planted scattered trees and tree line

5.2.4 The proposed trees must be watered as required during the first year, and then will require minimal ongoing management with the exception of inspections, restorative pruning, and replacement of damaged/failed individuals.

Newly created other broadleaved woodland

- 5.2.5 The newly planted woodland should initially be subject to weed control through the application f mulch or mulch mats around tree bases in early summer or the strimming of vegetation 1m around the base of each tree. Bio-degradable tree guards should be used to protect new trees from potential damage through grazing. New planting growth will be monitored every six months during the first year following planting an annually thereafter for five years, with watering, weed control, tree guard replacement and the replanting of failed specimens undertaken annually.
- 5.2.6 Once established, tree guards and stakes (if used) will be removed. A site visit will be carried out every five years (commencing year 5 post-construction) of the woodland to monitor the growth/condition and inform if/when any of the following are required:
 - Thinning of close-set trees and non-native trees within the canopy;
 - Replanting of varied native canopy and understorey species;
 - Rotational coppicing of understoreys;
 - · Continued control of deer populations and piling of brash screening around newly planted/coppiced areas to manage over-grazing; and
 - Creation of standing and fallen deadwood features.
- 5.2.7 Substantial works (other than minor trimming) will take place outside 1st March to 31st August inclusive to avoid impacting nesting birds.

Newly created SuDS and wildlife pond.

5.2.8



The newly created SuDS and wildlife pond will be subject to ongoing management carried out in response to the conditions at the time. This will generally include the removal of litter and larger items of debris, containment and investigation of any pollutions, selective pruning of surrounding vegetation and marginal vegetation, monitoring water conditions (i.e. algal blooms) and monitoring levels of human disturbance and taking remedial action if required.



6 References

BSI (2021). BS 8683:2021 Process for designing and implementing Biodiversity Net Gain – Specification. The British Standards Institution Ltd., London.

CIEEM, CIRIA, IEMA (2019). Biodiversity net gain. Good practice principles for development. A practical guide. CIRIA C776a. London, 2019.

Ecology by Design (2024). Culham Battery Storage Ecological Impact Assessment. Chalgrove.

DLUHC (2023). National Planning Policy Framework. Department for Levelling Up, Housing & Communities

DEFRA (2023a). Statutory Biodiversity Metric calculation tool (spreadsheet). DEFRA

DEFRA (2023b). Statutory Biodiversity Metric User Guide (draft). Natural England Joint Publication JP039. DEFRA.

UKHab Ltd (2023). UK Habitat Classification Version 2.0 (at https://www.ukhab.org)

Appendix 1 - Site Proposals

Drawing ref. Stratera energy dwg No. SL254_L_X_GA_1 (overleaf)



Appendix 2 - Figures

- EBD_2513_DR001 BIA Baseline Habitats
- EBD_2513_DR002 BIA Impacts
- EBD_2513_DR003 BIA Proposed Habitats

(overleaf)





Reference: EBD02513



LEGEND Site Boundary Site Boundary (26.91 ha) Biackhom scrub (0.1357 ha) Brambie scrub (1.0255 ha) Developed land; sealed surface (3.521 ha) Buildings (0.0045 ha) Mixed scrub (0.281 ha) Modiled grassland (19.601 ha) Other neutral grassland (2.4345 ha) Aural tree, medium (103 trees) Rural tree, small (103 trees)



Culham Battery Storage

Stratera Energy awing Title: Baseline Habitats

Drawing No.: EBD_2513_DR001 Central Eastings, Northings: 453133, 196452 Drawn by: ASp Scale (@A3): 1:3,300 Date Drawn: 05/04/2024 Approved by: BG This drawing is the property of Ecology by Design Ltd and must not be produced without the written permission of Ecology by Design Ltd. This drawing contains data reproduced from © OpenStreetMap Contributors and Ordnance Survey data © Cover Copyright and database off 2024 Areise Imagery - Imagery ©2024 CNES / Artisus, Germagoing 3c), Infotorra Ltd & Bluesky, Mexer Technologies, The GeoInformation Strout. Man data @2024.

Group, Map data 62024. Hampdan House, Monument Park, Chelgroup, Oxon, OX44 79W ecologybydesign ac uk w www.ecologybydesign.ac uk w www.ecologybydesign.ac uk



Appendix 3 - Photographs

Photograph 1: Modified grassland



Photograph 3: Mixed scrub



Photograph 5: Scattered trees within other neutral grassland at the east of the site





Site Boundary (26.91 ha) Pramble scrub (0.1486 ha) Developed Indr. Sealed surface (9.7932 ha) Buildings (0.0045 ha) Modfiled grassland (10.8826 ha) Other neutral grassland (11.9869 ha) Sustainable urban drainage feature (0.2251 ha) Rural Tree, Medium (6 trees) Native hedgerow (0.48 km) Native hedgerow (0.48 km) Line of trees (0.08 km) ndary (26.91 ha) Site B

EGEND



Culham Battery Storage

Stratera Energy awing Title: Proposed Habitats

awing No.: EBD_2513_DR0

ral Fastinos 453133, 1964 05/04/202

1:3.300



Photograph 2: Other neutral grassland



Photograph 4: Developed Land, sealed surface



Photograph 6: Bramble scrub in the south-east



Reference: EBD02513



Appendix 4 - Baseline Condition Assessment Tables

See accompanying excel spreadsheet

Appendix 5 - Proposed Condition Assessment Tables

See accompanying excel spreadsheet

